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ABSTRACT
A particle filter (PF) and a Rao-Blackwellized particle filter (RBPF) are proposed for mobile terminal tracking in the Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) network based on received signal level and timing advance measurements. The proposed PF and RBPF are able to cope with errors due to non-line-of-sight propagation, which are modelled as non-Gaussian disturbances. The proposed algorithms have been tested on synthetic and "real world" GSM measurements, and their enhanced performance compared to an extended Kalman filter is shown.

1. INTRODUCTION
In recent years, radio network-based localization methods that provide accurate mobile terminal (MT) location estimates have become an important field for researchers and engineers. On the one hand, this is due to emerging commercial applications such as location sensitive billing, fraud detection or intelligent transportation systems that rely on accurate MT location estimates. On the other hand, the United States Federal Communications Commission (FCC) standard requires all wireless providers to report the location of all 911 callers within a specified accuracy [1].

Until now, several localization methods have been proposed to solve the problem of locating an MT (an overview can be found, e.g., in [1, 2]). Cellular radio network-based localization methods use, e.g., the received signal strength (RSS), angle of arrival (AoA), round trip time (RTT) or time (difference) of arrival (TDMA) measurements, in order to estimate the MT location. The radio signals, however, are usually not designed for localization purposes, so that it is difficult to obtain MT location estimates that rely on a single type of measurement. Furthermore, especially in urban scenarios, multiple reflections at buildings and other obstacles prevent the radio signal from arriving via the direct path. The resulting error due to non-line-of-sight propagation (NLOS) can severely affect the accuracy of the MT location estimates. Thus, methods that efficiently combine different types of measurements and take into account errors due to NLOS propagation are needed.

In [3], an extended Kalman filter (EKF) that combines timing advance (TA) and RSS measurements from the Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) is proposed which does not take into account errors due to NLOS propagation. In [4], particle filters (PFs) for localization in wireless networks are proposed which are based either on RSS or ToA measurements. Although the effect of NLOS propagation is investigated by means of simulations, the combination of RSS and ToA measurements is not considered. A PF and a Rao-Blackwellized PF (RBPF) for mobility tracking in cellular networks based on RSS measurements is presented in [5]. A Rao-Blackwellized variable rate PF that combines line-of-sight (LOS) signals from satellite, cellular radio and sensor networks, in order to simultaneously determine the MT location and the locations of the sensor nodes is described in [6]. In [7], the interacting multiple model algorithm based on ToA measurements and taking into account NLOS error statistics is investigated. A jump Markov particle filter for mixed LOS/NLOS conditions based on RSS and ToA measurements for indoor scenarios is presented in [8].

This paper is focussed on MT tracking using received signal level (RXLEV) and TA measurements from GSM, as they can be easily obtained from off-the-shelf mobile handsets. A PF and a RBPF is proposed that efficiently can deal with the nonlinear relationship between the measurements and the MT location and the errors due to NLOS propagation, which are modelled as non-Gaussian disturbances. The two algorithms have been tested on synthetic and "real world" GSM measurements, and their enhanced performance with respect to the EKF is demonstrated.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section II, the MT tracking problem is stated and the corresponding MT motion model and the models for the RXLEV and TA measurements are described. In Section III, the PF and RBPF for the MT tracking problem are introduced. In Section IV and V, the performance of the PF and RBPF is compared to the EKF by means of simulations and experimental results. Section VI concludes the work.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT
2.1 Motion Model
The objective of MT tracking in cellular radio networks is to recursively estimate the MT kinematic state from a set of measurements. It is assumed that the measurements are available at discrete time steps \(k \cdot T\), with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), where \(T\) denotes the sampling time and \(\mathbb{N}\) is the set of natural numbers.

For the MT tracking problem, the states to be estimated are the MT location and the errors due to NLOS propagation, which are modelled as non-Gaussian disturbances. The two algorithms have been tested on synthetic and "real world" GSM measurements, and their enhanced performance with respect to the EKF is demonstrated.
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2. PROBLEM STATEMENT
2.1 Motion Model
The objective of MT tracking in cellular radio networks is to recursively estimate the MT kinematic state from a set of measurements. It is assumed that the measurements are available at discrete time steps \(k \cdot T\), with \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), where \(T\) denotes the sampling time and \(\mathbb{N}\) is the set of natural numbers.

For the MT tracking problem, the states to be estimated are assumed to be the two-dimensional location and velocity of the MT, i.e., \(\mathbf{x} = [x_{\text{mt}}, y_{\text{mt}}, x'_{\text{mt}}, y'_{\text{mt}}]^T\). The MT state dynamics are described by a nearly constant velocity model [1], given by

\[
\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}(k),
\]

where \(\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & T \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{bmatrix} \), \(\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} T^2/2 \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 \\ T \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 \end{bmatrix} \), \(\mathbf{I}_2\) is the identity matrix of size 2, and \(\mathbf{v} = [v_x, v_y]^T\) denotes zero-mean Gaussian process noise with covariance matrix \(\mathbf{Q} = \text{diag}(\sigma^2_x, \sigma^2_y)\), where \(\sigma^2_x\) and \(\sigma^2_y\) are the noise variances in the \(x\)- and \(y\)-direction, respectively. In the following, the models

for the RXLEV and TA measurements are introduced.

2.2 Measurement Model

In GSM, the TA is a parameter that is used to maintain frame alignment in the GSM system [9]. Basically, the TA is the round trip time, i.e., the time the radio signal needs to travel from the base station (BS) to the MT and back, quantized to finite precision. Let $z_{ta}$ denote the TA measurement multiplied by $c_0/2$, where $c_0$ denotes the speed of light. Then, the TA measurement can be modelled as

$$z_{ta}(k) = h_{ta}(x(k)) + w_{ta}(k),$$

where $h_{ta}(x(k)) = d(l^n(x(k)))$ denotes the Euclidean distance between the MT and the $n$-th BS. The random variable $w_{ta}(k)$ accounts for errors due to quantization, changing propagation conditions - LOS or NLOS situation - and inaccuracies in the measurement equipment.

The TA error is modelled with a two-component Gaussian mixture probability density function (pdf)

$$p_{ta}(w_{ta}(k)) = p_{los} \cdot N(w_{ta}(k);\mu_{los},\sigma_{los}^2) + (1 - p_{los}) \cdot N(w_{ta}(k);\mu_{nlos},\sigma_{nlos}^2)$$

[1], where the error $w_{ta}(k)$ falls in the LOS distribution with probability $p_{los}$, and in the NLOS distribution with probability $(1 - p_{los})$, and where $N(w_{ta}(k);\mu,\sigma^2)$ denotes a Gaussian density with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$. This assumption can be verified by experimental data, cf. Fig. 1, that have been obtained from field trials in an urban city center in Germany. From Fig. 1, it is obvious that compared to the Gaussian mixture pdf, the single Gaussian pdf only poorly approximates the true TA error pdf.

Unfortunately, off-the-shelf mobile phones only receive the TA from the serving BS, which is not enough information to uniquely determine the MT location. In GSM, however, additional MT location information can be obtained from the RXLEV measurements, which are quantized RSS measurements. In general, the MT measures the RSS from up to $N_{BS} = 7$ BSs. Let $z_{rss}(k)$ denote the vector of $N_{BS}$ RSS measurements. Then, the model for the RSS measurement in dB scale is given by

$$z_{rss}(k) = h_{rss}(x(k)) + w_{rss}(k)$$

[1], with $h_{rss}(x(k)) = [h_{rss}^{(1)}(x(k)), \cdots, h_{rss}^{(N_{BS})}(x(k))]^\top$, where $h_{rss}^{(n)}(x(k)) = P_{t}^{(n)} - L^{(n)}(x(k))$, $P_{t}^{(n)}$ denotes the equivalent isotropic radiated power of the $n$-th BS and $L^{(n)}(x(k))$ is the path loss. The path loss is given by the well known formula

$$L^{(n)}(x(k)) = A^{(n)} + 10 \cdot B^{(n)} \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{d^{(n)}(x(k))/\text{km}}{1}\right),$$

where $A^{(n)}$ and $B^{(n)}$ are model parameters that depend strongly on the BS antenna settings and the investigated scenario. The random variable $w_{rss}(k)$ accounts for errors, such as, quantization errors and errors due to slow fading and NLOS propagation, which can be assumed to be zero-mean Gaussian distributed with multivariate pdf $p_{rss}(w_{rss}(k)) = N(w_{rss}(k);\mathbf{0},\mathbf{R}_{rss})$ and covariance matrix $\mathbf{R}_{rss}$. It is assumed that the covariance matrix is given by $\mathbf{R}_{rss} = \text{diag}((\sigma_{rss}^{(1)})^2, \cdots, (\sigma_{rss}^{(N_{BS})})^2)$. Note that the errors due to NLOS are implicitly included in the model [10].

Figure 1: Empirical TA error pdf from outdoor field trial and corresponding Gaussian and Gaussian mixture approximations.

3. PARTICLE FILTERS FOR MOBILE TERMINAL TRACKING

3.1 Recursive Bayesian Estimation

After having described the TA and RSS measurements that are corrupted by (non-)Gaussian noise and that are nonlinearly related to the MT location, the question is how one can efficiently recursively estimate the MT location from these measurements. Let $Z(k) = \{z(l), l = 1, \ldots, k\}$ denote the sequence of available measurements up to time $k$, with $z(l) = [z_{ta}(l), z_{rss}(l)]^\top$. Then, from a Bayesian point of view, the solution to the above problem is to recursively compute the posterior pdf $p(x(k)|Z(k))$ of the MT state vector, given the data $Z(k)$. The optimal Bayesian solution, provided that certain assumptions hold, is given by the following two recurrence relations

$$p(x(k)|Z(k)) = \frac{p(z(k)|x(k))p(x(k)|Z(k-1))}{p(z(k)|Z(k-1))},$$

$$p(x(k+1)|Z(k)) = \int p(x(k+1)|x(k))p(x(k)|Z(k))dx(k)$$

[11, 12], where $p(z(k)|Z(k-1))$ is a normalizing constant, and where the pdfs $p(x(k+1)|x(k))$ and $p(z(k)|x(k))$ can be determined from (1) and (2), (3), respectively. However, due to the nonlinear and (non-)Gaussian structure of the measurement model, cf. (2) and (3), an analytical solution of the above recursions is intractable. Thus, in order to solve the underlying MT tracking problem, one has to resort to suboptimal algorithms.

3.2 Particle Filter

The MT tracking problem is solved using sequential Monte Carlo methods that are commonly referred to as particle filters [11–14]. The PF is based on a recursive approximation of the posterior pdf $p(x(k)|Z(k))$ by a set of $N$ random samples $x_i(k)$ (particles) with corresponding importance weights $w_i(k)$, i.e.,

$$p(x(k)|Z(k)) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i(k) \cdot \delta(x(k) - x_i(k)),$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ denotes the Dirac delta function. In Table 1, the PF for the MT tracking problem is presented that uses the

transitional prior as an importance density function. The PF algorithm consists of three major steps: measurement update, resampling with replacement, and prediction. Step 1: Measurement update. Upon the arrival of a new measurement, the importance weight \( w_i(k) \) of each particle \( x_i(k) \) is updated via the likelihood function \( p(z(k)|x_i(k)) \) estimated via the likelihood function \( p(z(k)|x_i(k)) \).

Step 2: Resampling. In the resampling step, particles \( x_i(k) \) with low importance weights \( w_i(k) \) are eliminated and particles with high importance weights are multiplied in order to avoid a poor approximation of the posterior pdf \( p(x(k)|z(k)) \).

Step 3: Prediction. In the prediction step, predicted particles \( x_i(k+1) \) are sampled from the transitional density \( p(x(k+1)|x(k)) \) in order to approximate \( p(x(k+1)|z(k)) \).

3.3 Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter

It is well known that the computational complexity of the PF becomes prohibitively high when a large number of particles is used. In order to overcome this problem, a technique called Rao-Blackwellization can be applied to PFS (a comprehensive treatment of RBPFs can be found, e.g., in [14–18]). The main idea behind Rao-Blackwellization is to partition the state vector \( x(k) = [x^v(k), x^w(k)]^T \) into linear states \( x^v(k) \) and nonlinear states \( x^w(k) \) and then to analytically marginalize out the linear states from the resulting joint posterior pdf \( p(x^v(k), x^w(k)|z(k)) \) [16]. It can be shown that the linear states can then be estimated by a Kalman filter, and the nonlinear states can be estimated by a PF. The result of this technique is that, compared to a standard PF, the variance of the state estimates can be reduced.

For the MT tracking problem, the MT velocity components are estimated with the Kalman filter, i.e., \( x^v = [\dot{x}_{MT}, \dot{y}_{MT}]^T \), and the MT location is estimated with the particle filter, i.e., \( x^w = [x_{MT}, y_{MT}]^T \). Thus, the motion and measurement model, cf. (1), (2) and (3), can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  x^v(k+1) \\
  x^w(k+1)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  I_n & A^v \\
  0 & A^w
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  x^v(k) \\
  x^w(k)
\end{pmatrix} +
\begin{pmatrix}
  B^v \\
  B^w
\end{pmatrix} v(k),
\]

\[
z(k) = h(x^w(k)) + w(k),
\]

where \( A^v = T^v \cdot I_2 \), \( A^w = I_2 \), \( B^v = T^v_2/2 \cdot I_2 \), and \( B^w = T^v_1 \cdot I_2 \). The process noise \( v = [v^v, v^w]^T \) is zero-mean Gaussian distributed with covariance matrix \( Q = I_2 \otimes Q \), where \( \otimes \) is the Kronecker product and \( I_2 \) denotes a matrix of size 2 whose entries are all 1. The RBPF for the underlying MT tracking problem is given in Table 2.

4. SIMULATION SCENARIO AND RESULTS

For the simulations, it is assumed that a car travels with a constant speed of 70 km/h on a straight line, starting at (0 km, 0 km) and ending at approximately (1.3 km, 1.3 km). The car is equipped with an MT that provides RXLEV and TA measurements from the GSM network. The GSM network is composed of \( N_{BS} = 7 \) BSs with known BS locations, where each BS is equipped with a single omni-directional antenna. The BS locations are given by \((-0.75\text{km}, 0.75\text{km})\), \((-0.25\text{km}, 1.5\text{km})\), \((0.75\text{km}, 1.75\text{km})\), \((0.5\text{km},-0.75\text{km})\), \((1.5\text{km}, \text{Okm})\), \((2\text{km},1.9\text{km})\) and \((-0.75\text{km},-0.6\text{km})\). It is assumed that the MT receives one TA from the serving BS and a total of seven RXLEV measurements from the serving BS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Particle Filter for MT Tracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initialization ((i = 1, \ldots, N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate samples and initialize weights:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(x_i(0) \sim p(x(0)), \quad w_i(0) = 1/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>where “~” means “is distributed according to” and (p(x(0))) is the prior distribution of the MT state vector at (k = 0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Measurement update ((i = 1, \ldots, N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update the weights and normalize:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(w_i(k) = \frac{p(z(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Effective sample size (N_{eff}) and PF output calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{eff} = 1/\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w_i(k))^2, \quad \hat{x}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i(k)x_i(k))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filter for MT Tracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Initialization of Kalman and Particle filters ((i = 1, \ldots, N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\hat{x}_i^v(0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Particle filter measurement update ((i = 1, \ldots, N))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(w_i(k) = \frac{p(z(k)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Effective sample size (N_{eff}) and PF output calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{eff} = 1/\sum_{i=1}^{N} (w_i(k))^2, \quad \hat{x}<em>i^w(k) = \sum</em>{i=1}^{N} w_i(k)x_i^w(k))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and neighboring BS antennas. The serving BS is assumed to be the BS providing the largest RXLEV.

The simulation parameters are given in Table 3 and are assumed to be equal for all BSs for the sake of simplicity. Note that the error pdf for the TA measurement is modelled as a two-component Gaussian mixture. The unknown parameters of the Gaussian mixture have been estimated from the field trial data, cf. Fig. 1, using the Expectation Maximization algorithm. The accuracy of the proposed PF and RBPF algorithms is evaluated in terms of the position root mean square error (RMSE) determined from the EKF, PF and RBPF for

\[ N_{\text{MC}} = 100, \]

and standard deviation \( \sigma_{\text{EKF}} = 190 \text{ m} \).

In Fig. 2, the RMSE in dependence of the time index \( k \) for the EKF, PF and RBPF using \( N = 250 \) and \( N = 1000 \) particles is shown. Compared to the PF and RBPF, the EKF provides the worst results in terms of RMSE. On the one hand, this is due to the fact that the EKF has to linearize the nonlinear measurement equations, which results in a degradation of the performance. On the other hand, the EKF only poorly approximates the non-Gaussian TA error pdf which further results in performance loss. The PF and RBPF, however, can efficiently deal with the nonlinear measurement model and non-Gaussian error which results in performance improvement, but they have the disadvantage of being computationally more complex. For a small number of particles and thus, a lower computational complexity, the RBPF gives more accurate results than the PF.

Even though the details of the algorithms are not presented in this paper, simulations have been carried out using the auxiliary particle filter (AUX-PF) and regularized particle filter with Epanechnikov kernel (RPF-EPA) and Gaussian kernel (RPF-GAU) [11–13]. The results on the position RMSE averaged over the whole time period for different numbers of particles and for \( N_{\text{MC}} = 100 \) Monte Carlo runs are summarized in Table 4. From Table 4 it can be seen that in terms of average RMSE and for a small number of particles, the RBPF provides the best results compared to the other PFs.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, the proposed PF and RBPF are tested on GSM measurements available from a field trial. The field trial was conducted in an operating GSM network in a German city center, where the test area has a size of approximately 3 km \( \times \) 3 km. During the field trial every \( T = 0.48 \text{ s} \), a car equipped with a standard cellular phone collected RXLEV and TA measurements from GSM. Note that in GSM the RXLEV measurements are available from the serving BS and between one and six strongest RXLEVs from the neighboring BSs, whereas the TA measurement is only available from the serving BS. The investigated GSM network is composed of 13 fixed BSs with known locations. The BSs are either equipped with omni-directional or directional antennas.

In Fig. 3, the true MT trajectory and the trajectories estimated from the EKF, PF and RBPF for \( N = 1000 \) particles.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, a particle filter and a Rao-Blackwellized particle filter are proposed that combine RXLEV and TA measurements from the GSM network, in order to accurately track the MT velocity during the field trial. The peaks in the position RMSE can be explained by the bad geometric constellation of the BSs relative to the MT and the change of MT velocity during the field trial.
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